

Title	Formal Solutions and True Solutions with Gevery Type Asymptotic Expansion for Some Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations in the Complex Domain (Algebraic Analysis and the Exact WKB Analysis for Systems of Differential Equations)
Author(s)	YAMAZAWA, Hiroshi
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録別冊 = RIMS Kokyuroku Bessatsu (2008), B5: 265-280
Issue Date	2008-01
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/174189
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

Formal Solutions and True Solutions with Gevery Type Asymptotic Expansion for Some Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations in the Complex Domain

By

Hiroshi YAMAZAWA*

Abstract

Ōuchi [3] showed that for some linear partial differential equations in a complex domain, there exists a true solution $u_S(t, x)$ which is a holomorphic function in a sector S , and has an asymptotic expansion as $t \rightarrow 0$ in S . In this paper, we extend these results for nonlinear equations, and give another construction of such a solution.

§ 1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{C} be the complex plane or the set of all complex numbers, t a coordinate of \mathbb{C}_t , and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ coordinates of $\mathbb{C}_x^n = \mathbb{C}_{x_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}_{x_n}$. Set $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we set $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$, and $(\partial/\partial x)^\alpha := (\partial/\partial x_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (\partial/\partial x_n)^{\alpha_n}$. Set $|x| := \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{|x_i|\}$, $D_R := \{x \in \mathbb{C}_x^n; |x| < R\}$ and

$$S_\theta(T) := \{t \in \mathbb{C}_t; 0 < |t| < T, |\arg t| < \theta\}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{O}(D_R)$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}(S_\theta(T) \times D_R)$) the set of all holomorphic functions defined on D_R (resp. $S_\theta(T) \times D_R$).

In this paper, we consider the following equation:

$$(1.1) \quad D(u(t, x)) = f(t, x).$$

Here $D(u(t, x))$ is a nonlinear partial differential operator with coefficients in holomorphic functions on a neighborhood of the origin for an unknown function $u(t, x)$, and $f(t, x)$ is a given function.

Received March 20, 2007, Accepted November 4, 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification(s): 34G20, 35A07, 35C10.

Key Words: true solutions, asymptotic expansion.

*Department of Language and Culture, Caritas Junior College, Yokohama 225-0011, Japan.

Problem 1. Suppose that $f(t, x)$ is a holomorphic function. Can we construct a true solution?

Then the answer is “Yes” (Ōuchi [1], [2], Yamazawa [4]).

Problem 2. Suppose that $f(t, x)$ is a function with Gevrey type asymptotic expansion. Can we construct a true solution of the same type?

In this paper we consider Problem 2; we construct a true solution to (1.1), and moreover, we prove that the solution has the same Gevrey type asymptotic expansion as $f(t, x)$.

§ 2. Solvability in $\text{Asy}_{\{\gamma\}}^0$

We define some function spaces which will be used in this paper.

Definition 2.1. Let $\gamma > 0$. Then we define a subspace $\text{Asy}_{\{\gamma\}}^0(S_\theta(T) \times D_R)$ of $\mathcal{O}(S_\theta(T) \times D_R)$ as follows: $f(t, x) \in \text{Asy}_{\{\gamma\}}^0(S_\theta(T) \times D_R)$ if for any $S_0 = S_{\theta_0}(T_0)$ with $0 < \theta_0 < \theta$ and $0 < T_0 < T$ (which we denote by $S_0 \Subset S$), there exist $f_k(x) \in \mathcal{O}(D_R)$ and C and $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$|f(t, x)| \leq C \exp(-c_0|t|^{-\gamma})$$

holds in S_0 .

Definition 2.2. Let $\gamma > 0$. Then we define a subspace $\text{Asy}_{\{\gamma\}}(S_\theta(T) \times D_R)$ of $\mathcal{O}(S_\theta(T) \times D_R)$ as follows: $f(t, x) \in \text{Asy}_{\{\gamma\}}(S_\theta(T) \times D_R)$ if for any $S_0 \Subset S_{\theta_0}(T_0)$, there exist $f_k(x) \in \mathcal{O}(D_R)$ and $A_0, B_0 > 0$ such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$

$$(2.1) \quad \left| f(t, x) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f_k(x)t^k \right| \leq A_0 B_0^N |t|^N \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{\gamma} + 1\right)$$

holds in S_0 . If the condition (2.1) is satisfied, then we write

$$f(t, x) \sim_\gamma \tilde{f}(t, x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} f_k(x)t^k \quad \text{in } S_\theta(T).$$

We call $\tilde{f}(t, x)$ an Gevrey type asymptotic expansion with index γ for $f(t, x)$.

We consider the following operator $D(u)$:

$$(2.2) \quad D(u(t, x)) = F(t, x, \{(\partial/\partial t)^j (\partial/\partial x)^\alpha u(t, x)\}_{j+|\alpha| \leq m}).$$

We assume that $F(t, x, Z)$ ($Z = \{Z_{j,\alpha}\}_{j+|\alpha| \leq m}$) admits an expansion which is a convergent power series with respect to Z :

$$(2.3) \quad F(t, x, Z) = \sum_{|q| \geq 1} a_q(t, x) \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \{Z_{j,\alpha}\}^{q_{j,\alpha}},$$

here $q_{j,\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$, and we set $q := \{q_{j,\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}; j + |\alpha| \leq m\}$, $|q| := \sum_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} q_{j,\alpha}$, and we assume that $a_q(t, x) \in \text{Asy}_{\{\gamma\}}(S_\theta(T) \times D_R)$. Then we consider the following equation (E_0) .

$$(E_0) \quad D(u(t, x)) = f(t, x) \in \text{Asy}_{\{\gamma\}}^0(S_\theta(T) \times D_R).$$

For the equation (E_0) we introduce Newton polygon due to Ōuchi [2], [3]. We write each coefficient $a_q(t, x) \in \text{Asy}_{\{\gamma\}}(S_\theta(T) \times D_R)$ as

$$a_q(t, x) = t^{\sigma_q} b_q(t, x) \quad (b_q(0, x) \neq 0, \sigma_q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}).$$

We set

$$\Pi(a, b) := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; x \leq a \text{ and } y \geq b\}.$$

Moreover, set $l_q := \max\{j + |\alpha|; q_{j,\alpha} \in q, q_{j,\alpha} \neq 0\}$ and $e_q := \sigma_q - \sum_{q_{j,\alpha} \in q} j q_{j,\alpha}$. Then we define Newton polygon $NP_1(D)$ for the linear part of the operator $D(\cdot)$ by

$$NP_1(D) := CH \left\{ \bigcup_{|q|=1} \Pi(l_q, e_q); b_q(t, x) \neq 0 \right\},$$

where $CH\{\cdot\}$ is the convex hull of a set.

The boundary of Newton polygon $NP_1(D)$ consists of a vertical half line Σ_p^* , a horizontal half line Σ_p^* and segments Σ_i^* ($1 \leq i \leq p-1$). Let γ_i^* be the slope of Σ_i^* for $i = 0, \dots, p$. Then we have $0 = \gamma_p^* < \gamma_{p-1}^* < \dots < \gamma_0^* = \infty$. Further Newton polygon $NP_1(D)$ has p -point vertices which we denote by (l_i^*, e_i^*) with $l_{p-1}^* < l_{p-2}^* < \dots < l_0^* = m$.

Next let us define an operator \mathcal{L}_i with respect to Σ_i^* for $i = 1, \dots, p-1$. We set

$$I_i := \{q \subset \mathbb{N}; e_i^* - e_q = \gamma_i^*(l_i^* - l_q) \text{ and } |q| = 1\}.$$

Then we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_i u(t, x) &:= \sum_{q \in I_i} t^{\sigma_q} b_q(t, x) \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha u(t, x) \right\}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \\ &= \sum_{(j,\alpha) \in J_i} t^{\sigma_{j,\alpha}} b_{j,\alpha}(t, x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha u(t, x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J_i := \{j + |\alpha| \leq m; e_i^* - (\sigma_{j,\alpha} - j) = \gamma_i^*(l_i^* - j - |\alpha|)\}.$$

Let m_i^* be the order with respect to $\partial/\partial x$ of \mathcal{L}_i .

We assume the following conditions for the equation (E_0) :

$$(C_1) \quad D(u) \text{ has a linear part of order } m,$$

$(C_2)_i$ the operator \mathcal{L}_i satisfies

- (1) if $j + |\alpha| < l_i^*$ then $|\alpha| < m_i^*$ and
- (2) $\sum_{\substack{j+|\alpha|=l_i^* \\ |\alpha|=m_i^*}} b_{j,\alpha}(0,0)\widehat{\xi}^\alpha \neq 0$ with $\widehat{\xi} := (1, 0, \dots, 0)$.

If we assume that the equation (E_0) satisfies the condition (C_1) , then it is sufficient to define Newton polygon for the only linear part (see Ōuchi [2, Proposition 1.7]).

We have an existence theorem concerning exponential decay solutions.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\gamma_{s+1}^* \leq \gamma < \gamma_s^*$ and $f(t, x) \in \text{Asy}_{\{\gamma\}}^0(S_\theta(T) \times D_R)$ ($|\theta| < \pi/2\gamma$). Suppose the conditions (C_1) and $(C_2)_i$ ($i = 0, \dots, s$). Then for any $0 < r < R$, there exists a solution $u(t, x) \in \text{Asy}_{\{\gamma\}}^0(S_{\theta'}(T) \times D_r)$ ($|\theta'| < \pi/2\gamma_1^*$) to the equation (E_0) .*

In the case where $\gamma = \gamma_{s+1}^*$, Theorem 2.3 was obtained in Ōuchi [2] under the condition that $F(t, x, Z)$ is a polynomial in Z , and this condition was removed in Yamazawa [4]. If $\gamma > \gamma_{s+1}^*$, we can prove this theorem as same way as in the case where $\gamma = \gamma_{s+1}^*$.

§ 3. Construction of True Solution

We consider the following equation:

$$(E_1) \quad D(u(t, x)) = f(t, x),$$

where each $a_q(t, x)$ in (2.3) is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin, and $f(t, x)$ belongs to $\text{Asy}_{\{\gamma\}}(S_\theta(T) \times D_R)$.

For the equation (E_1) we want to construct a solution that belongs to the same class as $f(t, x)$. In a linear case we shall recall Ōuchi's result in [3].

Let us consider the following condition $(C'_2)_i$ for the operator \mathcal{L}_i :

- $(C'_2)_i$ (1) $\sigma_{j,\alpha} = 0$ for any (j, α) with $j + |\alpha| = l_i^*$ and $|\alpha| = m_i^*$.
- (2) $\sum_{\substack{j+|\alpha|=l_i^* \\ |\alpha|=m_i^*}} b_{j,\alpha}(0,0)\widehat{\xi}^\alpha \neq 0$.

Then we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1 (Ōuchi [3]). *Assume that $D(u)$ satisfies $(C'_2)_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, s$). Let $\gamma \geq \gamma_{s+1}^*$. Then for any $S_0 \Subset S$ with $0 < \theta_0 < \pi/(2\gamma_1^*)$ there exists a solution $u(t, x) \in \text{Asy}_{\{\gamma\}}(S_0 \times D_r)$ to (E_1) .*

Remark. In [3], Ōuchi constructed a solution to (E_1) by using an integral kernel G and the solution is expressed as follows:

$$\int_c G(t, x; w) f(w) dw,$$

but it is very complicated to construct $G(t, x; w)$.

Next let us consider a nonlinear case. We shall get the same result as in a linear case, and give another construction of a true solution.

Let s be a nonnegative integer with $\gamma_{s+1}^* \leq \gamma < \gamma_s^*$, and we set $k_s^* = l_s^* - m_s^*$. We will give a condition for nonlinear terms.

For all nonlinear term, let us assume the following condition:

(A₁) For any (l_q, e_q) with $|q| \geq 2$, there exist $J_q^- > 0$ and $J_q^+ \geq 0$ such that

$$l_q = \begin{cases} -\frac{e^* - e_q}{\gamma_{s+1}^*} + l_s^* - J_q^- & \text{for } e^* \geq e_q, \\ \frac{e_q - e^*}{\gamma_s^*} + l_s^* - J_q^+ & \text{for } e_q > e^*. \end{cases}$$

Further we assume

$$\left[\frac{m_s}{\gamma_{s+1}^*} \right]_0 < J_q \quad \text{if } e^* > e_q \text{ and } |q| \geq 2,$$

where $[a]_0$ is the decimal part of a number a .

Then we have the following result for a formal solution.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose the conditions (A₁) and $(C'_2)_s$ for (E_1) . Then for $|\theta| < \pi/(2\gamma)$, we can construct a formal power series $\tilde{u}(t, x) = \sum_{h \leq 0} u_{(h)}(t, x)$ that formally satisfies (E_1) . Further $u_{(h)}(t, x)$ satisfies the following estimate:

$$(3.1) \quad |u_{(h)}(t, x)| \leq \tau^{-m_s} \tilde{U}_{(h)} B^h \Gamma\left(-\frac{h}{\gamma_s^*} + 1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{k_s^*}{\gamma} + 1\right) |t|^{k_s^* - h} \text{ in } S_0 \Subset S_\theta(T),$$

and $\sum_{h \leq 0} \tilde{U}_{(h)} t^{-h}$ is a convergent power series in a neighborhood of the origin.

We shall give a sketch of proof of Theorem 3.2 in Section 5.

As for the formal solution $\tilde{u}(t, x)$ we have the following fact.

Lemma 3.3. There exists a function $u_{S_0}(t, x) \in \text{Asy}_{\{\gamma_s^*\}}(S_0 \times D_r)$ with $0 < \theta_0 < \pi/(2\gamma_s^*)$ such that for any $S_1 \Subset S_0$

$$|u_{S_0}(t, x) - \sum_{h=0}^{-N+1} u_{(h)}(t, x)| \leq \tilde{U}'_{(h)} |t|^N \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{\gamma_s^*} + 1\right) \text{ for } t \in S_1,$$

where $\sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{U}'_{(h)} t^N$ is a convergent series.

Proof. Put

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}_{(h)}(t, x; \xi) &= \frac{u_{(h)}(t, x)}{t^{k_s - h + \gamma_s^*}} \frac{\xi^{-h/\gamma_s^*}}{\Gamma(-h/\gamma_s^* + 1)}, \quad \hat{u}_H(t, x; \xi) = \sum_{h \leq -H-1} \hat{u}_{(h)}(x, t, \xi), \\ \hat{u}(t, x; \xi) &= \sum_{h \leq 0} \hat{u}_{(h)}(x, t, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorem 3.2 that $\hat{u}_H(t, x; \xi)$ and $\hat{u}(t, x; \xi)$ converge on $D_R \times S_0 \times \{|\xi| \leq \hat{\xi}_0\}$ for some $\hat{\xi}_0 > 0$. Then there exist $\hat{\xi}$ with $0 < \hat{\xi} < \hat{\xi}_0$ and B_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{u}_H(t, x; \xi)| &\leq AB_0^{H+1} |t|^{-\gamma_s^*} |\xi|^{(H+1)/\gamma_s^*} \quad \text{on } D_R \times S_0 \times \{|\xi| \leq \hat{\xi}\}, \\ \sum_{h=0}^{-H} |\hat{u}_{(h)}(t, x; \xi)| &\leq AB_0^{H+1} |t|^{-\gamma_s^*} |\xi|^{(H+1)/\gamma_s^*} \quad \text{on } D_R \times S_0 \times \{|\xi| \geq \hat{\xi}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$u_{S_0}(t, x) = t^{k_s^*} \int_0^{\hat{\xi}} \exp(-\xi t^{-\gamma_0^*}) \hat{u}(t, x; \xi) d\xi.$$

By the estimates above we can see that $u_{S_0}(t, x)$ is the desired function. \square

We can construct a true solution as $u(t, x) = u_{S_0}(t, x) + v(t, x)$, where $v(t, x)$ is an unknown function. Set $D^{u_{S_0}}(v) := D(u_{S_0} + v) - D(u_{S_0})$. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that for the differential equation $D^{u_{S_0}}(v)$ with respect to $v(t, x)$, the conditions $(C_2)_i$ are satisfied ($i = 0, \dots, s-1$). Then for any $S_1 \Subset S_0$ with $0 < \theta_1 < \pi/(2\gamma_1^*)$, there exists a solution $u_{S_1}(t, x) \in \text{Asy}_{\{\gamma\}}(S_1 \times D_r)$ to (E_1) .*

Proof. If the condition (C_1) is satisfied for the equation (E_1) , then the same condition is also satisfied for the equation $D^{u_{S_0}}(v)$. As the proof of in [2, Lemma 4.4] we can prove that $f(t, x) - D(u_{S_0}) \in \text{Asy}_{\{\gamma_s^*\}}^0(S_0 \times D_r)$. Therefore we can adapt Theorem 2.3 to an equation $D^{u_{S_0}}(v) = f(t, x) - D(u_{S_0})$, and this equation has a solution $v_{S_1}(x) \in \text{Asy}_{\{\gamma_s^*\}}^0(S_1 \times D_r)$. Then $u_{S_0}(t, x) + v_{S_1}(t, x)$ is a solution to the equation (E_1) . \square

Remark. We can prove Theorem 3.4 if we replace the condition $(C_2)_i$ by $(C'_2)_i$.

§ 4. Majorant Function

First let us introduce a majorant function in [2]. Set

$$\theta(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{ct^n}{(n+1)^{m+2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta^{(k)}(t) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^k \theta(t),$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 4.1. *There exists a positive constant c such that for $0 \leq k' \leq k \leq m$*

$$(4.1) \quad \theta^{(k)}(t) \theta^{(k')}(t) \ll \theta^{(k)}(t).$$

We fix $c > 0$ so that (4.1) holds.

Lemma 4.2. (1) *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the following holds for any k :*

$$\theta^{(k)}(t) \ll \frac{C}{k+1} \theta^{(k+1)}(t).$$

(2) *Let $0 \leq k' \leq k \leq m$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!} \theta^{(n-i+k+p)}(t) \theta^{(i+k'+p')}(t) \ll \frac{p!p'!}{(p+p')!} \theta^{(n+k+p+p')}(t).$$

Set $\Psi_R(t) = \theta(t/R)$ and $\Psi_R^{(k)}(t) = (\partial/\partial t)^k \Psi_R(t)$ for $0 < R < 1$. By Lemma 4.2 we can prove the following proposition:

Proposition 4.3. (1) *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the following holds for any k :*

$$\Psi_R^{(k)}(t) \ll \frac{C}{k+1} R \Psi_R^{(k+1)}(t).$$

(2) *Let $0 \leq k' \leq k \leq m$. Then for $\delta \geq 1$*

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!} \Psi_R^{([(n-i)/\delta] + k + p)}(t) \Psi_R^{([i/\delta] + k' + p')}(t) \ll \frac{p!p'!}{(p+p')!} R^{-k'} \Psi_R^{([n/\delta] + k + p + p')}(t),$$

where $[a]$ denotes the integer part of a number a .

§ 5. Sketch of Proof of Theorem 3.2

First we study a solvability for an operator with respect to a vertex of Newton polygon.

We set

$$\Delta(s) := \{q; l_q = l_s^* \text{ and } e_q = e_s^*\}.$$

Then we define an operator by

$$Su(t, x) = \sum_{q \in \Delta(s)} a_q(t, x) \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha u \right\}^{q_{j,\alpha}}.$$

Under the condition (A_1) , the operator S is a linear operator. So we can write:

$$S = \sum_{(j,\alpha) \in \Delta(s)} t^{\sigma_{j,\alpha}} b_{j,\alpha}(t, x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha,$$

where

$$\Delta(s) := \{(j, \alpha); j + |\alpha| = l_s^* \text{ and } \sigma_{j,\alpha} - j = e_s^*\}.$$

We fix s and set $\Delta^* = \Delta(s)$, $k^* = k_s^*$ and $m^* = m_s^*$ and $(l^*, e^*) = (l_s^*, e_s^*)$ for short.

Let us consider the following equation:

$$(E_S) \quad Su(t, x) = F(t, x),$$

where $F(t, x)$ satisfies the following estimate as a formal power series in (t, x) for some $F > 0$:

$$F(t, x) \ll F \sum_{k \geq m_h} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{([k+k^*]/\delta] + m^* + c_h)}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta} \right)^k,$$

where $\chi = \tau x_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n x_i$, $\tau, \zeta > 0$.

Lemma 5.1. *Under the conditions $(C'_2)_s$ and (A_1) , the equation (E_S) has a solution $u(t, x)$ satisfying*

$$u(t, x) \ll \tau^{-m^*} \zeta^{-e^*} c(\tau) F \sum_{k \geq m_h + k^*} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{([k/\delta] + c_h)}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta} \right)^k,$$

where $c(\tau)$ is a positive and bounded function of $\tau > 0$.

We will construct a formal solution $u(t, x) = \sum_{g \geq 0} u^g(t, x)$ to the equation (E_1) : We choose the sequence $\{u^g(t, x)\}$ satisfying the following equations:

$$(E^g) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Su^0(t, x) + \sum_{e_q \leq e^*} t^{\sigma_q} b_q(t, x) \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha u^0(t, x) \right\}^{q_{j,\alpha}} = f(t, x), \\ Su^g(t, x) + \sum_{\substack{e_q \leq e^* \\ |g'| = g}} t^{\sigma_q} b_q(t, x) \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha u^{g_i}(t, x) \\ = - \sum_{\substack{e_q > e^* \\ |g'| = g - (e_q - e^*)}} t^{\sigma_q} b_q(t, x) \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha u^{g_i}(t, x) \quad (g \geq 1). \end{array} \right.$$

Here we set $|g'| := \sum_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} g_i$.

We solve the equation (E^g) inductively: First let us solve the equation (E^0) . We construct a solution $u^0(t, x) = \sum_{h \geq 0} u_h^0(t, x)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} Su_0^0(t, x) &= f(t, x), \\ Su_h^0(t, x) &:= W_h^0(t, x) \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{e_q < e^* \\ |h'| = h - (e^* - e_q)}} t^{\sigma_q} b_q(t, x) \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha u_{h_i}^0(t, x) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{e_q = e^* \\ |h'| = h - 1}} t^{\sigma_q} b_q(t, x) \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha u_{h_i}^0(t, x) \quad (h \geq 1). \end{aligned}$$

Here we set $|h'| := \sum_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} h_i$.

Since the function $f(t, x)$ belongs to $\text{Asy}_{\{\gamma\}}(S_\theta(T) \times D_R)$ we can assume that $f(t, x)$ satisfies

$$f(t, x) \ll F \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{([(k+k^*)/\delta] + m^*)}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta} \right)^k,$$

where $\frac{1}{\delta} = 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma}$, and each $b_q(t, x)$ satisfies for some $B_q > 0$:

$$b_q(t, x) \ll B_q \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{(k)}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta} \right)^k.$$

Then by Lemma 5.1, we get

$$u_0^0(t, x) \ll \tau^{-m^*} U_0^0 \sum_{k \geq k^*} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{([k/\delta])}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta} \right)^k,$$

where $U_0^0 := \zeta^{-e^*} c(\tau) F$. By induction on $h > 0$, we can obtain $u_h^0(t, x)$ by Lemma 5.1. So we will inductively give an estimate for $u_h^0(t, x)$.

Proposition 5.2. *Under the conditions $(C'_2)_s$ and (A_1) , for any $h \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $U_h^0 > 0$ such that*

$$(5.1) \quad u_h^0(t, x) \ll \tau^{-m^*} U_h^0 \sum_{k \geq k^*} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{([k/\delta])}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta} \right)^k,$$

and that $\sum_{h \geq 0} U_h^0$ converges for a sufficiently large $\tau > 0$ and a sufficiently small $R > 0$.

Proof. We set $\gamma_0 = \gamma_{s+1}^*$ for short. We already obtain the estimate (5.1) for $h = 0$. Let us assume the following estimate for $h_i < h$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^\alpha u_{h_i}^0(t, x) \ll \tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} \zeta^{-j} U_{h_i}^0 \sum_{k \geq (k^* - j)_+} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{([(k+j)/\delta] + |\alpha|)}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta}\right)^k,$$

where $(a)_+ = a$ if $a \geq 0$ and $(a)_+ = 0$ if $a < 0$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$. By Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\sigma_q} \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^\alpha u_{h_i}^0(t, x) \\ \ll C^{\sigma_q} \zeta^{e_q} \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} (\tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} U_{h_i}^0) \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{([k/\delta - e_q/\gamma + l_q])}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta}\right)^k. \end{aligned}$$

It follows $[k^*/\gamma]_0 + 1 - J_q^- \leq 0$ from the assumption (A_1) . If $e_q < e^*$ and $|h'| = h - (e^* - e_q)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{-e_q}{\gamma} + l_q\right] &= \left[\frac{-e_q}{\gamma} - \frac{e^* - e_q}{\gamma_0} + k^* + m^* - J_q^-\right] \\ &= \left[\frac{k^*}{\delta} + \frac{e^* - e_q}{\gamma} - \frac{e^* - e_q}{\gamma_0} + m^* - J_q^-\right] \\ (5.2) \quad &\leq \left[\frac{k^*}{\delta} + m^* - J_q^-\right] = k^* + \left[\frac{k^*}{\gamma}\right] + m^* - 1 + \left[\left[\frac{k^*}{\gamma}\right]_0 + 1 - J_q^-\right] \\ &\leq \left[\frac{k^*}{\delta}\right] + m^* - 1, \end{aligned}$$

and if $e_q = e^*$, by the same manner we have

$$(5.3) \quad \left[\frac{-e_q}{\gamma} + l_q\right] = \left[\frac{k^*}{\gamma} + k^* + m^* - 1\right] = \left[\frac{k^*}{\delta}\right] + m^* - 1.$$

Thus by Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_h^0(t, x) \\ \ll \sum_{\substack{e_q < e^* \\ |h'| = h - (e^* - e_q)}} C^{\sigma_q} \zeta^{e_q} R B_q \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} (\tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} U_{h_i}^0) \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{([(k+k^*)/\delta] + m^*)}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta}\right)^k \\ + \sum_{\substack{e_q = e^* \\ |h'| = h - 1}} C^{\sigma_q} \zeta^{e_q} R B_q \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} (\tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} U_{h_i}^0) \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{([(k+k^*)/\delta] + m^*)}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta}\right)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Lemma 5.1, we get the estimate (5.1) for any $h \geq 0$, where

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} U_h^0 := & c(\tau) \sum_{\substack{e_q < e^* \\ |h'| = h - (e^* - e_q)}} C^{\sigma_q} \zeta^{e_q - e^*} R B_q \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} U_{h_i}^0 \\ & + c(\tau) \sum_{e_q = e^*, |h'| = h-1} C^{\sigma_q} R B_q \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} U_{h_i}^0. \end{aligned}$$

Next let us give an estimate of the coefficient U_h^0 . Let us consider the following functional equation for $Z(t)$:

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} Z(t) = & c(\tau) \sum_{e_q < e^*} C^{\sigma_q} \zeta^{e_q - e^*} t^{e^* - e_q} B_q \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \{\tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} Z(t)\}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \\ & + c(\tau) \sum_{e_q = e^*} C^{\sigma_q} t \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \{\tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} Z(t)\}^{q_{j,\alpha}} + \zeta^{-e^*} c(\tau) F. \end{aligned}$$

By the implicit function theorem, we have the holomorphic solution near $t = 0$. Moreover substituting $Z(t) = \sum_{h \geq 0} Z_h^0 t^h$ into (5.5), for any $T > 0$, we can take a sufficiently large $\tau > 0$ and a sufficiently small $R > 0$ such that $U_h^0 \leq Z_h^0 T^h$. Hence we have the desired result. \square

We construct a true solution to (E^0) . Set $\tilde{u}^0(t, x) := \sum_{h \geq 0} u_h^0(t, x)$. Then we have:

$$\tilde{u}^0(t, x) \ll \tau^{-m^*} \sum_{h \geq 0} U_h^0 \sum_{k \geq k^*} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{([k/\delta])}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta}\right)^k.$$

If we set $\tilde{U}_0^0 := \sum_{h \geq 0} U_h^0$, then by Proposition 5.2, we get

$$\tilde{u}^0(t, x) \ll \tau^{-m^*} \tilde{U}_0^0 \sum_{k \geq k^*} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{([k/\delta])}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta}\right)^k.$$

For $|\theta| < \pi/(2\gamma)$, as in Lemma 3.3 we can prove the existence of a holomorphic function $u_S^0(t, x)$ such that

$$u_S^0(t, x) \sim_\gamma \tilde{u}^0(t, x) \quad \text{in } S_\theta(T).$$

So we construct a true solution $u^0(t, x)$ to (E^0) such that $u^0(t, x) = u_S^0(t, x) + v^0(t, x)$, where $v^0(t, x)$ is an unknown function; we can adapt Theorem 2.3 to the equation for $v^0(t, x)$, and we can solve (E^0) with $v^0(t, x) \in \text{Asy}_{\{\gamma\}}^0(S_\theta(T) \times D_R)$.

We can solve each equation (E^g) for $g \geq 0$ by repeating the procedure of constructing a true solution $u^0(t, x)$:

First we construct a formal solution $u^g(t, x) = \sum_{h \geq -g} u_h^g(t, x)$ for $g \geq 0$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Su_{-g}^g(t, x) &= - \sum_{e_q > e^*} \sum_{\substack{|g'| = g - (e_q - e^*) \\ |h'| = -g + (e_q - e^*)}} a_q(t, x) \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha u_{h_i}^{g_i}(t, x), \\ Su_h^g(t, x) &= - \sum_{e_q > e^*} \sum_{\substack{|g'| = g - (e_q - e^*) \\ |h'| = h + (e_q - e^*)}} a_q(t, x) \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha u_{h_i}^{g_i}(t, x) \\ &\quad - \sum_{e_q < e^*} \sum_{\substack{|g'| = g \\ |h'| = h - (e_q^* - e_q)}} a_q(t, x) \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha u_{h_i}^{g_i}(t, x) \\ &\quad - \sum_{e_q = e^*} \sum_{\substack{|g'| = g \\ |h'| = h - 1}} a_q(t, x) \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha u_{h_i}^{g_i}(t, x). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.3. *Under the conditions $(C'_2)_s$ and (A_1) , for any $g \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h \geq g$, there exists a $U_h^g > 0$ such that*

$$(5.6) \quad u_h^g(t, x) \ll \tau^{-m^*} U_h^g \sum_{k \geq k^* - (h)_-} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{([k/\delta + (h)_- / \gamma - (h)_- / \gamma^*])}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta} \right)^k,$$

and that $\sum_{g \geq 0} \sum_{h \geq -g} U_h^g$ converges for any sufficiently large $\tau > 0$ and sufficiently small ζ , $R > 0$. Here $\gamma^* = \gamma_s^*$, and $(a)_- := 0$ if $a \geq 0$ and $(a)_- := a$ if $a < 0$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. In the case where $g = 0$, we showed the estimate (5.6) by Lemma 5.2. Let us prove that $u_h^g(t, x)$ satisfies (5.6) by induction on $g > 0$. We assume for $g_i < g$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha u_{h_i}^{g_i}(t, x) \\ &\ll \tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} \zeta^{-j} U_{h_i}^{g_i} \sum_{k \geq (k^* - (h_i)_- - j)_+} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{([k + (k + j + (h_i)_-) / \gamma - (h_i)_- / \gamma^* + j + |\alpha|])}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta} \right)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Set $|(h')_-| = \sum_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} (h_i)_-$. By Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &t^{\sigma_q} \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha u_{h_i}^{g_i}(t, x) \\ &\ll C^{\sigma_q} \zeta^{e_q} \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} U_{h_i}^{g_i} \sum_{k \geq k_h} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{([k + (k + |(h')_-| - e_q) / \gamma - |(h')_-| / \gamma^* + l_q])}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta} \right)^k. \end{aligned}$$

First let us estimate $u_{-g}^g(t, x)$. Under the conditions that $e_q > e^*$, $|g'| = g - (e_q - e^*)$ and $|h'| = -g + (e_q - e^*)$, we have

$$(5.7) \quad k_h \geq k^*|q| - |(h')_-| - \sum_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} jq_{j,\alpha} + \sigma_q \geq k^*|q| - |h'| + e_q \geq g,$$

and

$$(5.8) \quad \left[\frac{|(h')_-| - e_q}{\gamma} - \frac{|(h')_-|}{\gamma^*} + l_q \right] \leq \left[\frac{|h'| - e_q}{\gamma} - \frac{|h'|}{\gamma^*} + l_q \right] \\ = \left[\frac{k^*}{\delta} + \frac{-g}{\gamma} - \frac{-g}{\gamma^*} + m^* - J_q \right] \leq \left[\frac{k^*}{\delta} + \frac{-g}{\gamma} - \frac{-g}{\gamma^*} \right] + m^*.$$

Thus by Proposition 4.3 we have

$$t^{\sigma_q} \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha u_{h_i}^{g_i}(t, x) \\ \ll C^{\sigma_q} \zeta^{e_q} \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} U_{h_i}^{g_i} \sum_{k \geq g} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{([(k+k^*)/\delta + (-g)/\gamma - (-g)/\gamma^*] + m^*)}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta} \right)^k.$$

Therefore by Lemma 5.1, the estimate (5.6) holds for $u_{-g}^g(t, x)$, where

$$U_{-g}^g := c(\tau) \sum_{\substack{e_q > e^* \\ |g'| = g - (e_q - e^*) \\ |h'| = -g + (e_q - e^*)}} \sum_{|g'| = g - (e_q - e^*)} C^{\sigma_q} \zeta^{e_q - e^*} B_q \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} U_{h_i}^{g_i}.$$

Let us assume that a function $u_{h_i}^g(t, x)$ satisfies the estimate (5.6) for $h_i < h$. Here we give an estimate for the following three cases:

- (i) $e_q > e^*$, $|g'| = g - (e_q - e^*)$ and $|h'| = h + (e_q - e^*)$;
- (ii) $e_q < e^*$, $|g'| = g$ and $|h'| = h - (e^* - e_q)$;
- (iii) $e_q = e^*$, $|g'| = g$ and $|h'| = h - 1$.

(A) Assume $h \leq 0$. Then as for (5.7), we have $k_h \geq -h$ for the all cases. First consider the case (i). Then as for the estimate (5.8) we have

$$\left[\frac{|(h')_-| - e_q}{\gamma} - \frac{|(h')_-|}{\gamma^*} + l_q \right] \leq \left[\frac{k^*}{\delta} + \frac{h}{\gamma} - \frac{h}{\gamma^*} \right] + m^*.$$

Therefore by Proposition 4.3, we have

$$t^{\sigma_q} \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha u_{h_i}^{g_i}(t, x) \\ \ll C^{\sigma_q} \zeta^{e_q} \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} U_{h_i}^{g_i} \sum_{k \geq -h} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{([(k+k^*)/\delta + h/\gamma - h/\gamma^*] + m^*)}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta} \right)^k.$$

Next consider the cases (ii) and (iii). Then as for the estimates (5.2) and (5.3) we have

$$\left[\frac{|(h')_-| - e_q}{\gamma} - \frac{|(h')_-|}{\gamma^*} + l_q \right] \leq \left[\frac{k^*}{\delta} + \frac{h}{\gamma} - \frac{h}{\gamma^*} \right] + m^* - 1,$$

Therefore by the same proposition, we have

$$(5.9) \quad t^{\sigma_q} \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha u_{h_i}^{g_i}(t, x) \\ \ll C^{\sigma_q} \zeta^{e_q} R \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} U_{h_i}^{g_i} \\ \times \sum_{k \geq -(h)_-} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{([(k+k^*)/\delta + (h)_-/\gamma - (h)_-/\gamma^*] + m^*)}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta} \right)^k.$$

(B) If $h > 0$, then we have $k_h \geq 0$ for the all cases.

In the case (i), we have

$$\left[\frac{|(h')_-| - e_q}{\gamma} - \frac{|(h')_-|}{\gamma^*} + l_q \right] \leq \left[\frac{-e_q}{\gamma} + l_q \right] \leq \left[\frac{k^*}{\delta} + m^* - J_q \right] \leq \left[\frac{k^*}{\delta} \right] + m^*.$$

Therefore we have

$$t^{\sigma_q} \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha u_{h_i}^{g_i}(t, x) \\ \ll C^{\sigma_q} \zeta^{e_q} \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} U_{h_i}^{g_i} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{([(k+k^*)/\delta] + m^*)}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta} \right)^k.$$

In the cases (ii) and (iii), as for the estimates (5.2) and (5.3) we have

$$\left[\frac{|(h')_-| - e_q}{\gamma} - \frac{|(h')_-|}{\gamma^*} + l_q \right] \leq \left[\frac{-e_q}{\gamma} + l_q \right] \leq \left[\frac{k^*}{\delta} \right] + m^* - 1.$$

Therefore we have the estimate (5.9). Hence by Lemma 5.1, we have the estimate (5.6), where

$$U_h^g = c(\tau) \sum_{e_q > e^*} \sum_{\substack{|g'| = g - (e_q - e^*) \\ |h'| = h + (e_q - e^*)}} C^{\sigma_q} \zeta^{e_q - e^*} B_q \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} U_{h_i}^{g_i} \\ + c(\tau) \sum_{e_q < e^*} \sum_{\substack{|g'| = g \\ |h'| = h - (e^* - e_q)}} C^{\sigma_q} \zeta^{e_q - e^*} R B_q \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} U_{h_i}^{g_i} \\ + c(\tau) \sum_{e_q = e^*} \sum_{\substack{|g'| = g \\ |h'| = h - 1}} C^{\sigma_q} R B_q \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^{q_{j,\alpha}} \tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} U_{h_i}^{g_i}.$$

Next let us give an estimate of the coefficient U_h^g . Let us consider the following functional equation:

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{aligned} Z(t, s) = & c(\tau) \sum_{e_q > e^*} C^{\sigma_q} s^{e_q - e^*} B_q \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \{\tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} Z(t, s)\}^{q_{j, \alpha}} \\ & + c(\tau) \sum_{e_q < e^*} C^{\sigma_q} \zeta^{e_q - e^*} t^{e^* - e_q} B_q \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \{\tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} Z(t, s)\}^{q_{j, \alpha}} \\ & + c(\tau) \sum_{e_q = e^*} C^{\sigma_q} t B_q \prod_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} \{\tau^{\alpha_1 - m^*} Z(t, s)\}^{q_{j, \alpha}} + \zeta^{-e^*} c(\tau) F. \end{aligned}$$

As in the case of (5.5), we have the holomorphic solution near $(t, s) = (0, 0)$. Moreover substituting $Z(t, s) = \sum_{g \geq 0} \sum_{h \geq -g} Z_h^g t^h (ts)^g$ into (5.10), for any $T > 0$ and $S > 0$ we can take a sufficiently large $\tau > 0$ and sufficiently small $R > 0$ and $\zeta > 0$ such that $U_h^g \leq Z_h^g T^h (TS)^g$. Hence we have the desired result. \square

Next for $g > 0$ we construct a true solution to (E^g) .

Set $\tilde{u}^g(t, x) := \sum_{h \geq -g} u_h^g(t, x) = \sum_{h=-g}^{-1} u_h^g(t, x) + \tilde{u}_0^g(t, x)$. Then we have

$$\tilde{u}_0^g(t, x) \ll \sum_{h \geq 0} \tau^{-m^*} U_h^g \sum_{k \geq k^*} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{([k/\delta])}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta}\right)^k.$$

By Proposition 5.3, $\sum_{h \geq 0} U_h^g$ converges. Further, if we set $\tilde{U}_0^g := \sum_{h \geq 0} U_h^g$, then $\sum_{g \geq 0} \tilde{U}_0^g$ also converges by Proposition 5.3. For $h < 0$ set $\tilde{u}_h^g(t, x) := u_h^g(t, x)$ and $\tilde{U}_h^g := U_h^g$. Then we have

$$\tilde{u}^g(t, x) = \sum_{h=-g}^0 \tilde{u}_h^g(t, x) \ll \sum_{h=-g}^0 \tau^{-m^*} \tilde{U}_h^g \sum_{k \geq k^* - h} \frac{1}{k!} \Psi_R^{([k/\delta + h/\gamma - h/\gamma^*])}(\chi) \left(\frac{t}{\zeta}\right)^k.$$

Therefore for each $g > 0$, we can construct a true solution of (E^g) inductively as in the case $g = 0$. Hence we have the following result for $g \geq 0$:

Proposition 5.4. *Under the assumptions $(C'_2)_s$ and (A_1) , there exists a true solution $u^g(t, x)$ to (E^g) such that for $|\theta| \leq \pi/(2\gamma)$*

$$u^g(t, x) \sim_{\gamma} \tilde{u}^g(t, x) = \sum_{-g \leq h \leq 0} \tilde{u}_h^g(t, x) = \sum_{-g \leq h \leq 0} \sum_{k \geq k^* - h} \tilde{u}_{h,k}^g(x) t^k \quad \text{in } S_{\theta}(T).$$

Here $\tilde{u}_{h,k}^g(x) \ll \tau^{-m^*} \tilde{U}_h^g \frac{1}{k! \zeta^k} \Psi_R^{([k/\delta + h/\gamma - h/\gamma^*])}(\chi)$ and $\sum_{g \geq 0} \sum_{-g \leq h \leq 0} \tilde{U}_h^g$ converges for a sufficiently large $\tau > 0$ and sufficiently small $R > 0$ and $\zeta > 0$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let us construct $u_{(h)}(t, x)$. We define

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{u}_h^g(x, \xi) &:= \sum_{k \geq k^* - h} \frac{\widetilde{u}_{h,k}^g(x)}{\Gamma(k/\gamma + 1)} \xi^{k/\gamma}, \\ u_{h,S'}^g(t, x) &:= t^{-\gamma} \int_0^{\widehat{\xi}} \exp(-\xi t^{-\gamma}) \widehat{u}_h^g(x, \xi) d\xi.\end{aligned}$$

First let us estimate $\widehat{u}_h^g(x, \xi)$. By Proposition 5.4, there exist positive constants A and B such that

$$|\widehat{u}_h^g(x, \xi)| \leq \tau^{-m^*} \widetilde{U}_h^g A^g B^h \frac{\Gamma(-h/\gamma^* + 1)}{\Gamma(-h/\gamma + 1)} |\xi|^{(k^* - h)/\gamma} \quad \text{for } g \geq -h \geq 0.$$

Therefore we have

$$|u_{h,S'}^g(t, x)| \leq \tau^{-m^*} \widetilde{U}_h^g A^g B^h \Gamma\left(-\frac{h}{\gamma^*} + 1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{k^*}{\gamma} + 1\right) |t|^{k^* - h} \quad \text{for } g \geq -h \geq 0.$$

Setting $u_{(h)}(t, x) := \sum_{g \geq -h} u_{h,S'}^g(t, x)$, we have

$$|u_{(h)}(t, x)| \leq \tau^{-m^*} \sum_{g \geq -h} \widetilde{U}_h^g A^g B^h \Gamma\left(-\frac{h}{\gamma^*} + 1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{k^*}{\gamma} + 1\right) |t|^{k^* - h} \quad \text{for } h \leq 0.$$

For a sufficiently large $\tau > 0$ and sufficiently small $R > 0$ and $\zeta > 0$, we can show that $\sum_{g \geq -h} \widetilde{U}_h^g A^g$ converges. Set $\widetilde{U}_{(h)} := \sum_{g \geq -h} \widetilde{U}_h^g A^g$. Then $\sum_{h \leq 0} \widetilde{U}_{(h)} t^{-h}$ also converges. This completes a proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

References

- [1] Ōuchi, S., Formal solutions with Gevrey type estimates of nonlinear partial differential equations, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **1** (1994), 205–237.
- [2] ———, Genuine solutions and formal solutions with Gevrey type estimates of nonlinear partial differential equations, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **2** (1995), 375–417.
- [3] ———, Existence of solutions with Asymptotic expansion of linear partial differential equations in the complex domain, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **40** (2004), 239–294.
- [4] Yamazawa, H., On formal solution and genuine solution for some partial differential equations, *Microlocal Analysis and Related Topics* (H. Tahara, ed.), RIMS Kôkyûroku **1431**, 2005, pp. 176–186.